

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/625,777		7/26/2000	Tao Chen	PA000330	7872	
23696	7590	11/19/2003 .		EXAM	INER	
Oualcomm	Qualcomm Incorporated				ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
Patents Depa	artment			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2635	11		
				DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	3 ' Y	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/625,777	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHate, cause the application to become ABA	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	August 2003.	
,	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims	, ,	,
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	nts have been received in Applority documents have been read au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). St of the certified copies not read to the certified to t	eceived in this National Stage eceived.
since a specific reference was included in the f 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language p	irst sentence of the specificat rovisional application has been	on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Pateni and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 2635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support for each transmitter transmitting the same Quick Paging signal as argued by the applicant, could not be found in the specification as originally filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruckert (5920549), Ghosh (6018667), Willey (6138034) and Tiedemann (6216004).

Bruckert shows searching a plurality of pilot channels associated with a plurality of base stations to choose the "best" base station(s). Then the paging channels from the best base stations are further used to set up a call. Bruckert

Application/Control Number: 09/625,777

Art Unit: 2635

differs from the claimed invention in that it does not show the paging channels (from the plurality of base stations) in substantially overlapping time slots. See col. 4 lines 49-57. Bruckert shows soft combining the best base station signals into a composite received signal (see col. 8 lines 33-45) and making (decoding) decisions based upon the composite signal.

In an analogous art, Ghosh shows the advantages of having neighboring base stations synchronized to each other. Synchronized base stations imply that the paging channels substantially overlap. This decreases acquisition time. See col. 2 lines 10-22.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have synchronized the paging channels of adjacent base stations in Bruckert since Ghosh shows this reduces acquisition time.

In an analogous art, Willey teaches the use of a quick paging channel which reduces idle handoffs. See col. 1 lines 25-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a quick paging channel in the above modified system since such would decrease idle handoffs.

In an analogous art, Tiedemann shows a system that uses soft handoff on common paging channels. This permits the receiver to receive the same "common" channel messages from a plurality of base stations during the transition or handoff time. Soft handoffs provide improved signal quality at the edges of cell coverage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the

Art Unit: 2635

soft handoff common channel scheme offered by Tiedemann in order to improve signal quality at the edge of cell coverage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A Zimmerman whose telephone number is 703-305-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner

Art Unii 2635

BAZ